

FILED

AUG - 6 2013

AT        O'CLOCK  
Lawrence K. Baeman, Clerk - Syracuse

US Jenkins

Case 5:11-cr-00602-GTS (cr)

August 4, 2013

Joseph Jenkins

7445 County House Rd

Cuba, NY 13021

Re: Status Update (2) Brief commentary on (doc 94) like it or not, 1<sup>st</sup> amendment right

(1) I did receive (doc 94) on Aug 2, 2013, late. (2) It is more of the same. The government did not invoke a treaty here, they bypassed it. That is the problem. This is a sham prosecution. Canadian Authorities were not involved. There was no 'Executive' or 'Central' Authority or any agreement whatsoever. There was not even 'competent' authority involved. It was all scheming idiots who lose evidence and cannot to LS. An illegal seizure was a nice way of putting it. The government cannot now go and invoke clauses from the treaty to their advantage to justify what they did, or to use against me. There is no case here. The offense was charged and prosecuted in Canada. No Authorities, "rendered the involvement of the United States necessary." Furthermore, "Only once it became clear... Jenkins not comply... Canadian Law Enforcement made contact..." "Is flat out perjury... " "Investigative Steps... knowledge..." "Are you people really that fucking stupid? Have you looked at your own paperwork? Border Steps? Detainment for hours? Flagging? The courtroom conduct here is just as outrageous as that of the scheming monkeys who stole property and are lying about the contents to frame me. The government (US) has illegally obtained property. Canadian Laws do not allow for the antics you are trying to pull off, that is why proper authority did not participate. Wohlert deserves an early dishonorable retirement. Any knowledge gained through that illegal seizure is irrelevant, the government had no right to my paperwork and/or to make any of it public. I'm entitled to damages resulting from such, not to mention all of the slander involved to me and my family. As a third party to property, the government has no standing to argue against suppression of it. I demand return. My next motion is going to be for a grand jury investigation into this outrageous conduct, by all parties. Your claims should be dismissed and 'special agents' should go back to the circus or to writing parking tickets... I dissent...

Joseph Jenkins

